

Project Audit Checklist

40 evidence-based questions across delivery health, risk, governance, vendors, reporting, and benefits. Score what you can evidence, not what you believe.

What this pack contains

- The 40-question checklist with a 0-3 score per question.
- A scoring rubric with category-level health bands.
- An action-plan template aligned to the six sections.
- A one-page sponsor read-out template to share findings cleanly.

Use it as pre-audit triage, a sponsor's structured way to interrogate status, or a PM's quarterly self-check. Sections marked with a ★ question cap at Amber when that question scores 0.

How to score

For each question, score what you can evidence in under five minutes.

0

No. The artifact or practice does not exist.

1

Partial. Exists on paper, stale or unused in practice.

2

Yes, with gaps. Used, but coverage or cadence is inconsistent.

3

Yes, evidenced. Current, and you can show the artifact now.

Section health bands (percent of section maximum): **Red** below 50% · **Amber** 50-75% · **Green** above 75%. A score of 0 on any ★ question makes that section Amber at best.

1 · Delivery health

8 questions · max 24

	0	1	2	3
1 ★ Is there one plan of record, and does the team you ask point to the same one?	☐	☐	☐	☐
2 Does the plan show the critical path, and was it updated in the last two weeks?	☐	☐	☐	☐
3 Are cross-team and external dependencies listed with named owners on both sides?	☐	☐	☐	☐
4 Is scope change tracked with a decision record (who approved, what it cost)?	☐	☐	☐	☐
5 Does velocity or throughput data exist for the last three periods, and is the trend used in forecasts?	☐	☐	☐	☐
6 Are milestones tied to verifiable outputs (demo, sign-off, environment) rather than dates alone?	☐	☐	☐	☐
7 Is there a single backlog or work queue, prioritized by someone with the authority to say no?	☐	☐	☐	☐
8 Has the team shipped to a production-like environment in the last month?	☐	☐	☐	☐

2 · Risk & RAID

7 questions · max 21

	0	1	2	3
9 ★ Does a RAID log exist, and was any entry updated in the last two weeks?	☐	☐	☐	☐
10 Does every open risk have a named owner (a person, not a team)?	☐	☐	☐	☐
11 Are top risks quantified in money or time, not just red/amber/green?	☐	☐	☐	☐
12 Is there evidence a mitigation actually ran (a completed action, not a plan to act)?	☐	☐	☐	☐
13 Have any risks been closed or downgraded in the last quarter with a reason recorded?	☐	☐	☐	☐
14 Are assumptions written down and dated, with a check date for each?	☐	☐	☐	☐
15 Did the last steering meeting spend time on risks, and did a decision come out of it?	☐	☐	☐	☐

3 · Governance

6 questions · max 18

	0	1	2	3
16 ★ Is there a decision body that meets on cadence with the authority to stop, redirect, or fund?	☐	☐	☐	☐
17 Are decision rights written down (who decides scope, budget, go-live)?	☐	☐	☐	☐
18 Is there a working escalation path the team has actually used, with an outcome?	☐	☐	☐	☐
19 Do meeting minutes record decisions and owners, and can you find last month's in under five minutes?	☐	☐	☐	☐
20 Is the sponsor visible: attended a session or reviewed status in the last month?	☐	☐	☐	☐
21 Is there a single accountable owner for the business outcome (not the delivery output)?	☐	☐	☐	☐

4 · Vendors & contracts

7 questions · max 21

	0	1	2	3
22 ★ Does the statement of work match what the vendor is actually doing today?	☐	☐	☐	☐
23 Are acceptance criteria for vendor deliverables written and testable?	☐	☐	☐	☐
24 Are SLAs tracked, and has a breach ever been raised formally?	☐	☐	☐	☐
25 Is contracted-versus-observed staffing checked (names and seniority, not just headcount)?	☐	☐	☐	☐
26 Are change requests priced and approved before the work starts?	☐	☐	☐	☐
27 Is there an exit or transition clause you could execute without the vendor's goodwill?	☐	☐	☐	☐
28 Does someone on your side review vendor invoices against delivered work?	☐	☐	☐	☐

5 · Reporting

6 questions · max 18

	0	1	2	3
29 ★ Does the status report tie progress to money and scope, not just activity?	☐	☐	☐	☐
30 Would the report's overall status survive a spot-check interview with three team members?	☐	☐	☐	☐
31 Are decisions requested in reports actually decided by the next report?	☐	☐	☐	☐
32 Is bad news visible in the report before it becomes unmissable in delivery?	☐	☐	☐	☐
33 Can an executive read the report in five minutes and know the top three problems?	☐	☐	☐	☐
34 Is reporting produced from working data (plan, backlog, finance) rather than authored from memory?	☐	☐	☐	☐

		0	1	2	3
35	★ Does the business case still exist, and has it been re-checked since the start?	☐	☐	☐	☐
36	Are benefits stated as numbers with owners, not ambitions?	☐	☐	☐	☐
37	Is there a benefits-tracking mechanism that will survive the project's closure?	☐	☐	☐	☐
38	Have scope cuts been reflected back into the promised benefits?	☐	☐	☐	☐
39	Is anyone accountable for benefits after go-live (a name, in writing)?	☐	☐	☐	☐
40	If the project stopped today, is it clear what value has already been banked?	☐	☐	☐	☐

Reading your score

Green across sections

Commission nothing. Re-run quarterly.

One or two Amber sections

Run a focused intervention on those sections. A full audit is optional.

Any Red, or three-plus Amber

An independent delivery audit will pay for itself. The 7-14 day Zenous audit runs 40-60+ criteria of this kind, with evidence collection, interviews, and a sponsor-ready action plan.

Action-plan template

#	FINDING (SECTION / QUESTION)	EVIDENCE	OWNER	ACTION	DUE	STATUS
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						

Sponsor read-out (one page)

- **Overall health:** Red / Amber / Green, one sentence why.
- **Top three findings:** each with the money or time at stake.
- **Decisions needed:** what, from whom, by when.
- **Next checkpoint:** date and expected evidence of improvement.